

SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 03/31/11
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ):

State:

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received:

PJ's Size Grouping*:

PJ Since (FY):

| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):** | | |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| | | | | | Group | B | Overall |
| Program Progress: | | | | PJs in State: | <div>4</div> | | |
| % of Funds Committed | <div>97.98</div> % | <div>99.10</div> % | <div>4</div> | <div>97.21</div> % | <div>56</div> | <div>51</div> | |
| % of Funds Disbursed | <div>97.11</div> % | <div>92.80</div> % | <div>1</div> | <div>90.53</div> % | <div>84</div> | <div>82</div> | |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | <div>11.96</div> | <div>8.76</div> | <div>1</div> | <div>4.97</div> | <div>100</div> | <div>100</div> | |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | <div>100.00</div> % | <div>99.24</div> % | <div>1</div> | <div>85.01</div> % | <div>100</div> | <div>100</div> | |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | <div>77.51</div> % | <div>69.19</div> % | <div>2</div> | <div>73.71</div> % | <div>50</div> | <div>50</div> | |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | <div>91.62</div> % | <div>91.01</div> % | <div>2</div> | <div>81.48</div> % | <div>79</div> | <div>74</div> | |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | <div>64.25</div> % | <div>53.41</div> % | <div>1</div> | <div>45.54</div> % | <div>84</div> | <div>0</div> | |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | <div>93.58</div> % | <div>97.00</div> % | <div>4</div> | <div>96.14</div> % | <div>19</div> | <div>21</div> | |
| Overall Ranking: | | | In State: | <div>1</div> / <div>4</div> | Nationally: | <div>90</div> | <div></div> |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units: | | | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | <div>\$7,668</div> | <div>\$24,649</div> | | <div>\$28,248</div> | <div>358</div> Units | <div>12.40</div> | % |
| Homebuyer Unit | <div>\$26,539</div> | <div>\$13,426</div> | | <div>\$15,487</div> | <div>771</div> Units | <div>26.70</div> | % |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | <div>\$17,673</div> | <div>\$16,402</div> | | <div>\$0</div> | <div>36</div> Units | <div>1.20</div> | % |
| TBRA Unit | <div>\$473</div> | <div>\$2,745</div> | | <div>\$3,211</div> | <div>1,719</div> Units | <div>59.60</div> | % |

* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (59 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (216 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (287 PJs)

** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

***- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Salt Lake City UT

Total Development Costs:
(average reported cost per unit in
HOME-assisted projects)

| | Rental | Homebuyer | Homeowner |
|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| PJ: | \$46,463 | \$88,334 | \$28,133 |
| State:* | \$74,582 | \$110,190 | \$24,772 |
| National:** | \$101,183 | \$78,322 | \$24,013 |

CHDO Operating Expenses:
(% of allocation)

PJ: 0.0 %
National Avg: 1.2 %

R.S. Means Cost Index: 0.81

| | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % | | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| RACE: | | | | | HOUSEHOLD TYPE: | | | | |
| White: | 75.8 | 61.9 | 69.4 | 57.2 | Single/Non-Elderly: | 41.8 | 22.7 | 22.2 | 52.4 |
| Black/African American: | 6.9 | 6.9 | 5.6 | 4.8 | Elderly: | 52.2 | 1.3 | 8.3 | 1.2 |
| Asian: | 0.6 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 6.0 | Related/Single Parent: | 3.3 | 24.1 | 13.9 | 28.3 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 1.8 | 1.2 | 2.8 | 10.8 | Related/Two Parent: | 2.4 | 41.9 | 55.6 | 17.5 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.3 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 4.8 | Other: | 0.3 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian and White: | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Other Multi Racial: | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 1.2 | 2.3 | 5.6 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| ETHNICITY: | | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic | 13.1 | 19.7 | 16.7 | 16.3 | | | | | |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE: | | | | | SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE: | | | | |
| 1 Person: | 80.9 | 22.4 | 25.0 | 52.4 | Section 8: | 0.9 | 0.0 [#] | | |
| 2 Persons: | 11.9 | 23.3 | 13.9 | 22.9 | HOME TBRA: | 0.0 | | | |
| 3 Persons: | 3.3 | 20.8 | 16.7 | 14.5 | Other: | 21.5 | | | |
| 4 Persons: | 1.8 | 15.8 | 19.4 | 4.8 | No Assistance: | 77.6 | | | |
| 5 Persons: | 2.1 | 8.7 | 16.7 | 5.4 | | | | | |
| 6 Persons: | 0.0 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 7 Persons: | 0.0 | 1.3 | 2.8 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 8 or more Persons: | 0.0 | 0.4 | 2.8 | 0.0 | # of Section 504 Compliant Units / Completed Units Since 2001 | | | 51 | |

* The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

** The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.



— HOME PROGRAM —
SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS
 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Salt Lake City

State: UT

Group Rank: 90
 (Percentile)

State Rank: 1 / 4 PJs

Overall Rank: 0
 (Percentile)

Summary: 0 / Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|-------------------------------------|---|------------|--------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 79.77% | 100 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 57.73% | 77.51 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME | < 70%** | 91.62 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 92.23% | 93.58 | |
| "ALLOCATION-YEARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | | > 2.200 | 0.47 | |

* This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs

** This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

*** Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT

Page 3

